

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

| | | | • | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/684,921 | 10/14/2003 | Samuel R. Regina | 5923-A-3 (CIP A-2) | 6379 | |
| 7590 10/08/2004 | | | EXAMINER · | | |
| C. Robert von H | Hellens | HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE | | | |
| CAHILL, VON HELLENS & GLAZER P.L.C. | | | ADTIBUT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Suite 155 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 2141 E. Highland | l Avenue | 3635 | | | |
| Phoenix, AZ 85 | 5016 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | 100 | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/684,92 | 1 | REGINA, SAMUEL R. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Yvonne M. | Horton | 3635 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | appears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | |
| THE - External after - If the control of the contro | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no evereply within the statuted will apply and will tute, cause the appli | ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from scation to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 | October 2003 | <u>3</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)[| This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 13-19 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 20-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6-12 and 23-26 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the | are: a)⊠ acce he drawing(s) b rection is require | e held in abeyance. See and if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | et(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date | 08) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate | O-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/684,921

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #5,038,542 to KLINE in view of US Patent #6,393,786 to HUDSON et al. KLINE discloses the use of a vented hollow block (10) including a pair of member (12,14) having continuous side walls (22,24) wherein the side walls (22,24) of one of the members (12,14) includes a lip (26) and the side walls (22,24) of the other member (12,14) includes a shelf (28) such that the lip (26) and the shelf (28) mate to form a junction. The block of KLINE further includes a vent (78) extending through one of the side walls (22,24). KLINE discloses the basic claimed glass block except for the use of a sheet for reflecting solar radiation. HUDSON et al. teaches that it is known in the art to provide a glass block (30) with an injected layer(38) which after curing forms a sheet

Application/Control Number: 10/684,921

Art Unit: 3635

(38) for partly reflecting solar radiation, column 3, lines 29-35. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the glass block of KLINE with the solar radiation sheet of HUDSON et al. in order to improve the life of the glass block by not allowing solar energies to deteriorate the glass block. Regarding claims 2 and 3, KLINE does not explicitly detail the size of his vent holes. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a vent hole size suitable for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice. For instance, large holes produce less retention of liquid within the block whereas smaller holes create more moisture retained within the glass block. Instances that are subject to excessive moisture might require smaller holes. In reference to claims 4 and 5, the glass panel member airs (12,14) are translucent/transparent (i.e. light transmitting), column3, lines 64-66; column 4, line 68 and column 4, line 1

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #5,038,542 to KLINE in view of US Patent #6,393,786 to HUDSON et al. KLINE discloses the use of a vented hollow block (10) including a pair of member (12,14) having continuous side walls (22,24) wherein the side walls (22,24) of one of the members (12,14) includes a lip (26) and the side walls (22,24) of the other member (12,14) includes a shelf (28) such that the lip (26) and the shelf (28) mate to form a junction. The block of KLINE further includes a vent (78) extending through one of the side walls (22,24) and being in communication with the glass block interior, column 7, lines 30-32. KLINE discloses the basic claimed glass block except for the use of a

Art Unit: 3635

sheet for reflecting solar radiation. HUDSON et al. teaches that it is known in the art to provide a glass block (30) with an injected layer(38) which after curing forms a sheet (38) for partly reflecting solar radiation, column 3, lines 29-35. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the glass block of KLINE with the solar radiation sheet of HUDSON et al. in order to improve the life of the glass block by not allowing solar energies to deteriorate the glass block. Regarding claims 21 and 22, KLINE does not explicitly detail the size of his vent holes. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a vent hole size suitable for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice. For instance, large holes produce less retention of liquid within the block whereas smaller holes create more moisture retained within the glass block. Instances that are subject to excessive moisture might require smaller holes.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-12 and 23-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13-19 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Yvonne M. Horton Examiner Art Unit 3635